INVESTIGATOR'S ANNUAL REPORT

National Park Service

All or some of the information provided may be available to the public

	T.
Reporting Year: 1992	Park: Shenandoah NP
Principal Investigator:	Office Phone:
Jesse Logan	(703)231-7316
	Email:
	n/a
Address:	Office Fax:
Virginia Polytech. & State Uni	n/a
Department of Forestry 305 Cheatham Hall	
Blacksburg, VA 24061-0319 VA	
Additional investigators or key field assistants (first name, last name, office phone, office email):	
Name: D. Gray Phone: n/a	Email: n/a
Permit#: SHEN1992AEET	
Park-assigned Study Id. #: unknown	
Project Title: Development of a predictive model of gypsy moth egg phenology	
Permit Start Date:	Permit Expiration Date
Jan 01, 1998	Jan 01, 1998
Study Start Date: Jan 01, 1989	Study End Date Jan 01, 1993
Study Status:	
Completed	
Activity Type: Other	
Subject/Discipline: Invertebrates (Insects, Other)	
Objectives:	
The objective of our study is to develop a model of gypsy moth egg phenology that will predict time of hatch, pattern of hatch and hatch success under a	
variety of environmental and parental (larval) conditions. We propose to describe the relationship between temperature and development rate for each of three recognized phases of egg development, the relationships between temperature thresholds of the three phases, possible constraints on	
development of one phase imposed by a preceding phase, and to develop a three phase temperature-dependent model of gypsy moth egg phenology and survival.	
Findings and Status:	
There were significant differences between egg masses from the three states in the amount of time necessary to satisty chilling requirements. Fiftieth	
percentrile egg hatch occured approximately 5 days later for Virginia eggs than for Massachussetts eggs. Eggs from Pennsylvania were intermediate in their cold requirements. These differences may be the result of selection pressure away from individuals that would respond to warm temperatures in	
the early spring of southern regions.	
For this study, were one or more specimens collected and removed from the park but not destroyed during analyses?	
Funding provided this reporting year by NPS:	Funding provided this reporting year by other sources:
0	22000
Fill out the following ONLY IF the National Park Service supported this project in this reporting year by providing money to a university or college	
Full name of college or university:	Annual funding provided by NPS to university or college this reporting
run name of conege of university.	year:
I .	I and the second

n/a

0